Approved For Release 2007/03/22 : CIA-RDP84B00049R001800080003-7

## NIC Comments on Paper

27 Ja 82 A STA

In essence, this meeting deals with the use of U.S. technology --mainly

compressor stations , turbinesand rotoms, -- in the Yamal gas pipelinex by Euroepan xubsidiaries or who have The basic questions are: a licence for the technology.

- --What will be the effect of a U.S. denial of this technology
- --What is the legal status of the licenses

--What will be the reaction of the **Musiu**x West Europeans if the U.S. were to deny the technology?

Commerce, T easure, and State area better placed to judge the legal status of the licenses . State it

My own views on the other two questions are:

- --Effect of denial of technology
  - -It will make the project more costly
  - -The Sovieets and the West Europeans will try to use substitute technology of unproven and probably poorer quality.
  - of construction, its denial will not delay initial work. There are two views on how long it will that take the Soviets and East Ruropeans to develop substitute components. The CIA view has been that it will take two years; some \*\* industry sources are not \*\* in speaking in terms of five years to develop an inferior product. \*\* In any event it seems that the denial of U.S. technology can delay but not block the project.
  - Use of substitute technology will probably make the pipleline less efficient and therefore less profitable.

## -- Reaction of Washer West Europeans

معيد محقد ه

- U.S. Ambassadors to Bonn and London have already weighed with recommendations not to make license denial retroactive.
- -State will probably argue that any U.S. denail will be condemned by the Europeans.
- -On the other hand, since we believe -hath the situation in Poland will get

  worse (more repression, more violence, continued possibllity of
  eventually

  Soviet intervention), it may be possible to bring the Europeans to
  shafe our policy. \*\*\*xx

## In the final analysis, then, if it is possible-

The key question them then becomes: Can we delay the discission while at the same time defering the on U.S. licenses whthout running an inordinate risk of having the technology transferred to the U.S.S.R. before we have time to act. If so, then that seems to be the prudent course to take.

If not, then we have to bite the bullet and decide whether we want to be the problem to the want to be the problem. The same to be the problem to be the problem to be the problem to the same to be the problem.